Message Text

PAGE 01 NATO 02282 241955Z

64

ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 CIAE-00 PM-03 INR-07 L-02 ACDA-05

NSAE-00 PA-01 PRS-01 SP-02 USIA-06 TRSE-00 SAJ-01

EB-07 COME-00 H-02 /050 W

----- 032625

R 241757Z APR 75
FM USMISSION NATO
TO USCINCEUR
SECSTATE WASHDC 1392
SECDEF WASHDC
INFO CINCUSAREUR
CINCUSNAVEUR
CINCUSAFE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE USNATO 2282

E.O. 11652: N/A TAGS: MARR NATO

USNMR SHAPE JCS WASHDC

SUBJECT: US SPECIAL PROGRAM SLICES XXVI-XXX

REFS: A.USNATO 0952 B. DPC/D(74)35, PARA V C. STATE 005452/1

BEGIN SUMMARY. INFRASTRUCTURE COMMITTEE WORKING GROUP (WG27) IS NEARING AGREEMENT ON BASIC US SPECIAL PROGRAM FOR SLICES XXVI-XXX TO BE RECOMMENDED TO PARENT COMMITTEE. MISSION AND CINCEUR STAFFS HAVE ACHIEVED ACCEPTABLE COMPROMISE ON PROVISIONAL MIX OF PROJECTS AND OBTAINED ALLIES' AGREEMENT TH AT PROJECT LIST REMAIN OPEN-ENDED. MISSION WILL PRESS FOR QUICK AGREEMENT ON INFRASTRUCTURE COMMITTEE REPORT TO DPC MINISTERS 22 MAY 75. END SUMMARY.

1. IN THEIR MEETING OF 10 DEC 75 (REF B), DPC MINISTERS AGREED TO CEILING AND COST SHARING FOR NEXT FIVE-YEAR NATO INFRASTRUCTURE LIMITED OFFICIAL USE
LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 NATO 02282 241955Z

PROGRAM (SLICES XXVI-///) AND INSTRUCTED DPC PERMREPS TO REVIEW THE US SPECIAL PROGRAM QUOTE ON A PROJECT-BY-PROJECT BASIS WITH A VIEW TO REACHING AGREEMENT ON THE CONTENTS...UNQUOTE. THE RESPONSIBLE INFRASTRUCTURE COMMITTEE WORKING GROUP (WG 27) IS NEAR-

ING AGREEMENT ON A BASIC US SPECIAL PROGRAM AND IT IS EXPECTED THAT THE INFRASTRUCTURE COMMITTEE WILL BE ABLE TO REPORT SUCCESSFUL CONCLUSION OF THIS TASK TO DPC MINSITERS ON 22 MAY 1975.

2. IN PRESENTING US CASE FOR CANIDATE PROJECTS, MISSION HAS
OBSERVED POLICY WHICH SECDEF LAID DOWN IN HIS LETTER OF 30 DEC 74
TO CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES (REF C), I.E., THAT US SPECIAL PROGRAM
MAY ONLY INCLUDE PROJECTS WHICH ARE INELIGIBLE FOR INFRASTRUCTURE
FUNDING AND WHICH US WOULDHAVE FUNDED UNILATERALLY. US HAS ALSO
ACCEPTED SOME REASONABLE GROUND RULES IMPOSED BY POLITICAL CONSTRAINTS

IN SOME COUNTRIES AND GENERALLY AIMED AT ENHANCING VALUEOF THESE SPECIAL PROJECTS TO NORMAL INFRASTRUCTURE AND THUS TO MAJOR NATO COMMAND (MNC) PLANNING. HOWEVER, MISSION AND CINCEUR REPS HAVE ACTIVELY RESISTED ATTEMPTS OF SOME ALLIES TO IMPOSE GROUND RULES THAT ARE TOO NARROW, PARTICULARLY IN THOSE ARMY AND NAVY CASES WHERE PROJECTS ARE SUBSTANTIALLY DIFFERENT FROM THOSE NORMALLY ELIGIBLE FOR INFRASTRUCTURE. WE HAVE STRESSED THE BASIC CRITIERIA FOR PROJECTINCLUSION, I.E., THAT THEYBE IN SUPPORT OF ASSIGNED AND EARMARKED FORCES AND THAT THEY BE QUOTE OPERATIONAL END QUOTE IN CHARACTER. AT SAME TIME IT IS ESSENTIAL THAT RESPONSIBLE US AUTHORITIES KEEP US LIST UNDER CONTINUOUS REVIEW IN ORDER TO INSURE CURRENTY AND TO EXCLUDE (A) ANY PROJECTS WHICH ARE ELIGIBLE FOR INFRASTRUCTURE FUNDING OR (B) PROJECTS FOR WHICH CONGRESS HAS REFUSED AUTHORIZATION FOR SUBSTANTIVE REASONS.

3. MISSION EFFORTS TO BROADEN WG 27 DEFINITION OF GROUND RULES HAVE BEEN SOMEWHAT HAMPERED BY UK INSISTENCE THAT US SPECIAL PROJECTS RESEMBLE NORMAL INFRASTRUCTURE AS CLOSELY AS POSSIBLE, WHICH IN TURN REFLECTS RESIDUAL ATTITUDE OF UK MOD THAT PROJECTS SHOULD HAVE BEEN NORMALLY ELIGIBLE BUT OF INSUFFICIENT PRIORITY TO BE INCLUDED IN THE CURRENT INFRASTRUCTURE PROGRAM. THERE HAS BEEN SOME INDICATION, HOWEVER, THAT THE UK POSITION IS SOFTENING AND THE UK REP ON WG 27 HAS STATED HE IS PREPARED TO CONSIDER PROJECTS QUOTE INCLUDING THOSE FOR WHICH NO FORMAL INFRASTRUCTURE CATEGORIES EXISTED-WHICH CATERED FOR THE PARTICULAR PROBLEM OF THE US FORCES IN EUROPE, THEIR REMOTENESS FROM A HOME BASE, AND WHICH LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 03 NATO 02282 241955Z

DIRECTLY ENHANCED THE WARTIME CAPABILITIES OF THOSE FORCES END QUOTE. THIS POSITION, READILY ACCEPTED BY THE WG, WILL HELP US MATERIALLY IN CONTINUTATION OF EFFORTS TO GAIN ACCEPTANCE OF PRIORITY ITEMS NOT NOW IN CATEGORY 1.

4. WG 27 HAS COMPLETED PROJECT-BY-PROJECT REVIEW OF LIST RECOMMENDED BY NATO INTERNATIONAL STAFF IN THEIR ANALYSIS OF 11 FEB 75 (REF A) AND IS ABOUT READY TO MAKE ITS REPORT TO THE INFRASTRUCTURE COMMITTEE. THIS PROVISIONALLIST AS NOW COMPILED IS DIVIDED INTO THREE CATEGORES: (1) THOSE PROJECTS HAVING UNANIMOUS WG ENDORSEMENT; (2) THOSE STILL OPEN FOR CONSIDERATION; AND (3) THOSE WHICH HAVE NOT BEEN ACCEPTED OR WHICH

POSE SPECIAL POLICY ISSUES. CATEGORY 1 TOTALS IAU 41.3 MILLION; CATEGORY 2 IAU 7.9 MILLION; AND CATEGORY 3 IAU 4.9 MILLION. THE US IS FREE TO RE-PROPOSE PROJECTS IN CATEGORIES 2 AND 3 OR TO PROPOSE OTHER DESIRABLE PROJECTS WHICH HAVE NOT APPEARED IN PREVIOUS LISTS. WHEN THE WG DECISION IS FIRM, MISSION WILL PROVIDE ADDRESSEES WITH MORE COMPLETE ANALYSIS BY CATEGORY AND SERVICE COMPONENT. IN MEANTIME, US REP WILL PRESS FOR QUICK AGREEMENT ON INFRASTRUCTURE

COMMITTEE REPORT TO DPC MINISTERS IN THEIR MAY MEETING STATING THAT TERMS OF DPC/D(74)35 HAVE BEEN MET.

5. MISSION WILL CONTINUE ITS EFFORTS TO GAIN ALLIES' ACCEPTANCE
OF PROJECTS TO WHICH CINCEUR AND COMPONENT COMMANDERS HAVE ASSIGNED
HIGH PRIORITY AND WHICH ARE NOT NOW INCLUDED IN CATEGORY 1. FOR
THE MOMENT MISSION PREFERS TO DEFER ACTION ONPROJECTS WITH WHICH
THE WG HAS HAD DIFFICULTY OR WHICH DEPART FROM AGREED GROUND RULES.
INSISTENCE AT THIS POINT ON CONTROVERSIAL PROJECTS OR ON EXCEPTIONS
TO THE GROUND RULES WILL ONLY DELAY AGREEMENT ONCOST SHARING FOR
SLICES XXVI-XXX AND COULD JEOPARDIZE IT.
BRUCE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 18 AUG 1999 Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Concepts: n/a Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 24 APR 1975 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED

Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: MorefiRH
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975NATO02282

Document Number: 1975NATO02282
Document Source: ADS
Document Unique ID: 00 Drafter: n/a

Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: N/A Errors: n/a Film Number: n/a From: NATO

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t197504101/abbrzjou.tel Line Count: 131 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE

Office: n/a

Original Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 3

Previous Channel Indicators:

Previous Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: A.USNATO 0952 B. DPC/D(74)35, PARA V C. STATE 005452/1
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: MorefiRH

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 01 MAY 2003

Review Event:

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <01 MAY 2003 by ShawDG>; APPROVED <21 MAY 2003 by MorefiRH>

Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JÚL 2006

Review Media Identifier: Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: US SPECIAL PROGRAM SLICES XXVI-XXX TAGS: MARR NATO To: USCINCEUR

STATE

SECDEF INFO CINCUSAREUR

CINCUSNAVEUR CINCUSAFE **USNMR SHAPE**

JCS
Type: TE
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006